

God's Holy Word the Bible

Part III

The Power Bible Hebrew & Greek Lexicon, and the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance: "an identical match".

For if a man think himself to be something, when he is nothing, he deceiveth himself. 4 But let every man prove his own work, and then shall he have rejoicing in himself alone, and not in another. 5 For every man shall bear his own burden. 6 Let him that is taught in the word communicate unto him that teacheth in all good things. (Galatians 6:3-6)

Introduction:

In Part III are a few examples of how the Power Bible CD software matches the Strong's concordance virtually word for word. We'll immediately begin with the words meris and meros, in TABLES I & II, which are identified in the Strong's concordance by the number's 3310, and 3313 respectively. These original Greek words were first copied from the Power Bible's Greek Lexicon. Additionally, Tables III & IV will illustrate the identical information which was copied directly from the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance.

<p>TABLE I</p> <p>Meris 3310 μερις</p> <p>feminine of 3313; a portion, i.e. province, share or (abstractly) participation:--part (X -akers).</p>

<p>TABLE II</p> <p>Meros 3313 μερος</p> <p>from an obsolete but more primary form of meiromai (to get as a section or allotment); a division or share (literally or figuratively, in a wide application):--behalf, course, coast, craft, particular (+ -ly), part (+ -ly), piece, portion, respect, side, some sort(-what).</p>
--

The words in TABLES III & IV, illustrate the Strong's numbers, 3310, and 3313, respectively, which were typed word for word from the Strong's exhaustive concordance.

<p>TABLE III</p> <p>(Strong's Exhaustive Concordance, 2007, p.1647)</p> <p>G3310 μερις meris feminine of G3313; a portion, ei. province, share, or (abstractly), participation: -- part, partakers.</p>
--

<p>TABLE IV</p> <p>(Strong's Exhaustive Concordance, 2007, p.1647)</p> <p>G3313 μερος meros from an obsolete but more primary form of μετρομαι meiromai (to get as a section or allotment); a division or share (literal or figurative in a wide application): -- behalf, coast, course, craft, particular, particularly, part, partly, piece, portion, respect, side, some sort, somewhat.</p>
--

As observed above, the power bible Greek Lexicon parallels virtually word for word what the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance has been showing. In all likelihood, this is because those who put the Power Bible together were not trying to create something new, but were endeavoring to make it easier to access something that had already been proven 'good', [ei., 'the Strong's concordance'].

The fact is, the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance is the KJV bible in analog computer form. The Power Bible CD is the Strong's Concordance, but in digital form, making it more convenient for the bible student to access the individual words of the KJV with the original text. It is a convenience that doesn't necessarily have to do with speed and coverage as a first consideration, [quantity], but rather a quality of study time.

Additionally, if one considers the cost of one of these Power Bibles CD's, they may find it speaks well about how God is still blessing those who truly desire to keep the blessing of God's Holy Word the Bible as the Lord first provided it for the latter times. That is, versions that have sought to make God's word easier to read through carnal methods represented by physics, have all been disobedient to the precepts and commandments of the original text which is eternally spiritual.

The Power Bible is giving their CD's out for practically nothing, and you don't have to go on line to use them. The Power Bible is, "**the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance on CD.**" Why would any modern day bible student not be content with that? One more example will be shown with respect to the words, meris, and meros.

TABLE V

[https://archive.org/stream/englishgreekdict027453mbp - page/n603/mode/2up](https://archive.org/stream/englishgreekdict027453mbp/page/n603/mode/2up)

English – Greek Dictionary A Vocabulary of the Attic Language

Author: S.C. Woodhouse

'**Partially**', Adv. In part: P. μέρος; meros; see Partly.

'**Partly**', adv. P. μέρος meros; Partly ... partly

Once again, in the English to Greek Dictionary, which was accessed at 'archive.org', in TABLE V above, shows the same Greek word, μέρος, [meros], and the meaning, which is identified by the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance, and the Power Bible Greek Lexicon, as Strong's number 3313.

Some of my own thoughts and experience with respect to the examination of the Greek, Aromatic, and Hebrew:

When the original text represented by a single word, whether it be, Hebrew, Aromatic, or Greek, is found to be illustrated by several English words, [in the KJV], that manifest phrases, this can be understood as something that is to be expected; for the English

language came long after the original text. Additionally, in all likely hood, the English, [especially today's English], is watered down, {if you will}, in strength and sobriety as compared to the much earlier languages the Holy Ghost first gave to the prophets and Apostles.

If one doubts this, all one has to do is compare the four hundred year old King James translation with today's modern versions, and one can see what is being said hear. The four hundred year old text, [that comes from the original], is seen as being closer to the intent of the spirit of truth, [which harmonizes with the remainder of the text], while the newer translations with the much recent English is seen as moving away from the intent of the spirit of truth.

The original manuscripts, [even the copies], were written many thousands of years earlier than, for example, the Latin or the old French language, or the King James which in my view should never be compared with the original text, but in many circles this is what is taking place.

When a single Strong's identification number, such as G3313, which would identify, 'one Greek word', is being used to identify several different languages, [as original words], say from the early French, and Latin, these earlier languages which are not the original text, can become the authority with respect to what the original Greek is saying.

What is being said here is that if the original language is NOT the only language being studied to learn spiritual truth, if there are later languages that are being compared with the original, this may not be a bible word study, this may be a process that is manifesting rebellion against Gods Holy Word the Bible; and this is what all of the churches are doing on line throughout the world. The spiritual attitude that is taking place is that, "WE", can figure out what God is really saying - perfectly. The problem is, even if that could be true it would NOT begin to solve the sin problem and how our sins must become paid for before the Hebrew, Aromatic, and Greek ever existed.

Many today who can be found on a legion of web sites, are interpreting the original language's, the Hebrew, Aromatic, and the Greek, but not necessarily from the proven accurate copies of the original text, but from older copies that do not agree with the text the King James was translated from; or there are younger languages that are seen as credible because their from the first centuries after Christ, yet were transcribed from the older copies that do not agree with the Textus Receptus. It's the same idea as those who look at the Apocrypha books of the old Catholic bible to find God's inspired truth. A true child of God who hears the voice of their Lord can know that the Apocrypha books are NOT the inspired word of God.

A valid question I find that ought to be considered is, why would a true bible student want to consider these worldly web sites that may not be trustworthy, when the King James translation of the bible, and the Strong's Concordance, both of which come from the most trusted copies of the original text, are available?

Further, the bible which God has mercifully given us in our own language, [which was God's intent and not man's], must be the ultimate authority as spiritual things are compared with spiritual, according to one original text that has been proven for centuries by the agreement found between the manuscripts of the text - 'Textus Receptus' - for the Greek.

Even as God used holy men of old to do His work on earth as it is in heaven, He did so, 'one time', with respect to that eternal work that would be in view – in this case – God's Holy Word the Bible.

Romans 3:1 ¶ What advantage then hath the Jew? or what profit is there of circumcision? 2 Much every way: chiefly, because that unto them were committed the oracles of God.

But these spiritual things, (1Corinthians 2:13), involve eternal life and salvation, and the fruits of the spirit, without which, no one can understand anything from God's word regardless of how many languages and doctrines are studied - perfectly. Remember Solomon (1Kings 11).

The point here, in this particular case, is that the world is becoming exceedingly evil where all of the biblical standards are being dismantled, one by one, and done away with so that there are no rules for the wicked to have to go by – rules that make them feel to be in a spiritual prison. As the spirit of truth declares through His word the bible:

Psalm 2:1-3 ¶ Why do the heathen rage, and the people imagine a vain thing? 2 The kings of the earth set themselves, and the rulers take counsel together, against the LORD, and against his anointed, saying, 3 Let us break their bands asunder, and cast away their cords from us.

The wicked want these, 'bands', [commandments, precepts, etc.], to be broken off of them, so that they are free to do whatever pleases them. Today, during the great tribulation, it is a common thing if they call themselves, 'Christians', and 'True believers'. But God's people have no titles once they've become born again. God's people are not seen according to the rank they have on their shoulder, or the title they give themselves; God's children are seen according to 'what they do'.

Most of us have probably heard this idea that certain 'parts' of the original text 'cannot' be translated by the English language. I find that to be absurd! You mean God is frustrated and not able to perfectly speak to His English elect every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of God? Then God, who created the English speaking people, is an impotent bold face liar! "Certainly that can't be correct!" But we may understand a little why there can be several English words for a single Hebrew or Greek text. This is how God hides His Word in a sin cursed earth, except for those He wishes to see, and to hear, as the spirit of truth opens the spiritual eyes of His elect.

So what is correct is God's Holy Word the Bible, which God has lovingly given to us through the King James version of the original text, which is spiritual and which is life in the souls of God's chosen elect (John 6:63). Be that as it may, those who want to study this inanimate book from a carnal, [mechanical], stand point to prove to themselves, [through their fleshly eyes], their standing with God, had better review the words Christ spoke in John 5:39:

John 5:39 Search the scriptures; for in them ye think ye have eternal life: and they are they which testify of me.

Interestingly, these modern day web sites were created during the great tribulation. In their endeavor to dissect God's original language, they can cause others who may not truly understand the word of God, or who are not born again, to see, for example, how the Strong's Concordance is irrelevant, as far as the numbers are concerned; and how the original words are the only thing they should be concerned with.

However, because God alone is God who 'alone' opens the eyes of His chosen people, He will not give this glory to another, nor His praise to graven images of Himself:

Isaiah 42:7-8 To open the blind eyes, to bring out the prisoners from the prison, and them that sit in darkness out of the prison house. 8 I am the LORD: that is my name: and my glory will I not give to another, neither my praise to graven images.

Many may not understand that the inanimate words on a scroll is 'not' what opens the eyes of God's elect, but it is the eternal spirit of God Himself that opens the eye with respect to both the just and the unjust. Remember Lot's wife. However, as Christ spoke in parables, and without a parable He did not speak, the spiritual and the parable must both point to the same eternal spiritual reality. Simply put, the comparison of anything other than the original language with the original language is a parable that is pointing to that which is evil, and not good.

There is a type of word study where several different text, [words], which have resulted from the dissecting of a single text, [word], are integrated into a single Strong's number, as though all of the words are representatives of the original text that the Strong's number is identifying. This would be an example of the creation of chaos where the 'standard', the bible alone and in its entirety, [according to the original text] is being side stepped, through the so called original languages, all of which are not accurate, but have been found to be 'corrupt', and are not of those text from which the King James was translated. Moreover, the end result of this is that missing words, phrases, clauses, and sentences from an original text, that showed no corruption has taken place in the later versions of the bible.

It is understood that the Strong's numbers are not the word of God, but are simply markers, or identifiers of a single original word in the KJV of the bible. However, if more than one spiritual meaning, [more than one original word], were assigned a single Strong's number, this must DEFEAT the whole purpose, or standard of identification.

Sooner than later this dismantling of precepts and standards must result in, "lawlessness", in regards to the interpretation of God's Holy word the Bible. The fact is, a true and accurate bible software that incorporates the Hebrew and Greek lexicons cannot work without numbers as identifiers for each of the original words. The reason for this is that this is how our Faithful Creator designed His gospel program while this sin cursed earth remains.

The Strong's Exhaustive Concordance is basically an analog computer where one's hands do the work of searching for specific words. The Power Bible is simply a digital form of the exact same thing, where ones fingers do the work of searching for specific words. But God alone must open the eyes.

Mechanically the result is the same: an identification of 'individual words', and their original appearance and where they are located throughout the bible, are found from a proven text as it is accompanied by a marker [Strong's number for example]. Their individual meaning, however, is never found by looking at the original word as it stands alone, or the marker that accompanies it, or any other text that compares with it, but rather the true spiritual meaning is found by how God alone shows how that individual word is used throughout the word of God as the Lord opens the eyes. And God doesn't need us to see things on this sin cursed earth perfectly in order for that to happen. So then, so much for the sinners pure language which in itself will never save anyone. It becomes a matter of being content, or not.

1Corinthians 2:12 Now we have received, not the spirit of the world, but the spirit which is of God; that we might know the things that are freely given to us of God. 13 Which things also we speak, not in the words which man's wisdom teacheth, but which the Holy Ghost teacheth; comparing spiritual things with spiritual.

Numbers that accurately identify each word in the original language, [ei., the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance], can be seen as a parable that is pointing to, or liken to God's precepts, as something that is 'good'. But, just as the parable has no spiritual substance in itself, the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance cannot make anyone see God's truth, but the spirit of truth Himself (John 14:26).

In the same way, no website that claims to incorporate the original languages into a pure language that has no errors, [as ISA claims], can provide God's eternal truth to the souls of those who study at these sites. However, when many of these sites incorporate unproven languages with respect to the original, they can be illustrating an attribute of the world that is under God's wrath. Like anything else, each site must be proven for its biblical accuracy. "No one should assume that these sites are biblical, or you do so at your own demise".

Table VI below is illustrating the old French from the Latin with respect to the Greek word, *meros μερος*, which adds an, 'ous' suffix, to the original text *meros μερος*. The result is that the original text now looks like *merous*, or, *μερους*. The original language is, the Greek, not the French, or Latin which was derived from the earlier Greek and Phoenician scripts. Perhaps its possible that much of the appearance of the original text has been changed over time – those who speak Hebrew or Greek today would not know how to pronounce, or write the Hebrew and Greek that were spoken when the bible was first written; or it could be the word, *merous*, is a word the origin of which is *meros*. In either case, the Strong's concordance provides one number for one text which sufficiently links with the King James Translation of the word of God as God's gift to mankind.

TABLE VI

What about this Greek word – *merous* – *μερους*

a combining form meaning “**having parts**” of the kind or number specified by the initial element: *dimerous*. Compare *-mer*, *-mere*.

Origin:

< Greek *-meros*, adj. derivative of *méros* part, portion, share; see *-ous*

The point here in this discussion is that what this definition is saying in Table VI would be learned anyway from all of the verses where the word, *meros μερος* is used – 41 times in the KJV. Look these verses up and you can see for yourself – assuming the Lord of Hosts is opening the eyes.

So why do we need to create, or consider another word, *merous* – *μερους*, when looking at the original Greek text, *meros* – *μερος*, if we're not first going to look at the 41 times *meros* is found in the scriptures as it is linked with the Strong's and Young's concordances? Are the individual words of the bible more important than the message of the bible? Do we think we're proving the accuracy of God's word by this type of study? According to its earthly carnal appearance perhaps, but that's NOT how God's people ultimately come to see God's Holy Word the Bible.

The bible declares God's word compares with God's word – the original language with the original language – as the spirit of truth guides. Languages that came millennia's later may or may not be accurate translations of the original. In any case, when one is 'not' looking at the proven accurate copies of the original manuscripts one is 'not' studying what God first gave to the nation of Israel who first received the oracles of God. Additionally, this would be true with respect to the New Testament believers who were lead by God to provide a reasonably accurate English translation – the King James, of which no later translation has improved upon, but only corrupted.

At this point in the gospel time line where we find ourselves, those who would work, consciously or subconsciously, to find error with the Textus Receptus copies of the original text, or the King James Translation, might as well be 'unbelievers' who doubt the faithfulness of Christ to provide His Holy word to His elect until He come.

*Matthew 5:18 For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, **one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the law, till all be fulfilled.***

In my judgment, this type of study where the original languages are dissected and compared with languages which are not harmonious with the Textus Receptus, or the Received text, [the Greek], does not first serve to glorify God according to what He alone has purchased with respect to His Holy Word the Bible to mankind.

Worse yet, this study method of continually dissecting the original text can easily cause contention and division amongst the members of a fellowship as one group will favor one language while the other group will insist, 'no, their language is more accurate', in place of all being under the authority of God's Holy Word the Bible.

This is exactly how the adversary the devil keeps most churches and religious agencies from coming together in harmony with what has been already proven to be God's Holy word. By the way, when all of scripture is compared with all of scripture, no where does the Holy Spirit command all professing believers in the world to have all things in common; for if He did, then God must be a miserable failure. Rather, God commands each individual according to what we read in Matthew 7:13:

*Mathew 7:13 Enter ye in at the strait gate: for wide is the gate, and broad is the way, that leadeth to destruction, and many there be which go in thereat: 14 Because strait is the gate, and narrow is the way, which leadeth unto life, and few there be that find it. 15 ¶ Beware of false prophets, **which come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves.***

God can hold all of the wicked eternally accountable to God's word, yet God is never obligated to open the eyes of any Christ did not eternally pay for. So should a sinner insist, as part of their ministry, that a group come together having all things in common, this sinner may be disobeying all of God's word which shows it is not God's will that every group come together having all things in common. God says in Matthew 10:34:

Matthew 10:34 Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send peace, but a sword.

Those who would be God's children may find great suspicion towards anyone who would insist that a fellowship group must have all things in common, while simultaneously creating a study that is doomed to cause strife and contention through an unbiblical comparing of languages that cannot be perfectly harmonious with one another while sinners remain on a sin cursed earth. God alone builds His church (Psalm 127).

Consciously, or subconsciously, today, many studies are set up in a manner that ensures there will be strife and contention. Those who have an agenda that is different than God's may finally cut their losses and retain those who remain in their sphere of influence, so as to build their own church where they are the Shepherd [not Christ]. According to all of scripture, and in view of the time we are living in, this is what ought to be expected, where Satan, the man of sin, through his emissaries, [the unregenerate], are ruling in the temple, [the holy place where two or three gather in His Name].

It is exceedingly unfortunate, but the bible is so crystal clear how that most of mankind are going to go their own way irregardless of what the word of God says. But a true child of God witnesses the light of Christ – through the bible alone and in its entirety. They are content that God who alone is Faithful has provided an accurate text by which the world would receive the bible in it's own language. How else could God hold the apostate church accountable? How else would His elect know to come out of her? The word of God as seen from the King James translation of the original text never shows God to be a liar, but rather all of mankind:

Numbers 23:19 God is not a man, that he should lie; neither the son of man, that he should repent: hath he said, and shall he not do it? or hath he spoken, and shall he not make it good?

Romans 3:1-4 ¶ What advantage then hath the Jew? or what profit is there of circumcision? 2 Much every way: chiefly, because that unto them were committed the oracles of God. 3 For what if some did not believe? shall their unbelief make the faith of God without effect? 4 God forbid: yea, let God be true, but every man a liar; as it is written, That thou mightest be justified in thy sayings, and mightest overcome when thou art judged.

The minute I would ever think that God had not sufficiently provided His perfect spiritual word to me by way of the King James bible and the Strong's concordance, I would be in stark horror with myself knowing to immediately fall to the dust so as to beg the Lord for His eternal forgiveness. I believe I know that those who are presently scorning believers, [like many who scorned Harold Camping], for holding to the KJV of the bible with the Strong's concordance, are also those who are presently dismantling God's Holy word through false doctrines – making that which is good - evil.

Isaiah 5:20 Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil; that put darkness for light, and light for darkness; that put bitter for sweet, and sweet for bitter!

I have seen their studies all over the internet and have found them to be 'parables' that can only 'point' to eternal damnation. Ultimately, God will be the judge. But I would not desire God's wrath on myself, or for any of my brothers and sisters who profess to be in Christ.

In conclusion: I find today that any group that professes the Lord Jesus Christ as its Shepherd will find themselves being exceedingly sensitive to the precepts and commandments of God – or not.

I find it is through the obedience of God's precepts and commandments, OR NOT, that ultimately shows the spiritual condition of any fellowship irregardless of what the individuals of a fellowship may say with their mouths. "Let God be true and every man a liar".